

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/810,618	03/29/2004	Toshiaki Inoue	041514-5391	9830		
55694 75	590 04/19/2006	EXAMINER		INER		
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC)			HSU, JONI			
1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005-1209		2628			
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2000	DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
10/810,618		INOUE, TOSHIAKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joni Hsu	2628	

		Joni Hsu	2628					
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
	LED 05 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP							
1. 🔯 The reply this appli places the (3) a Req	was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o cation, applicant must timely file one of the follog application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notuest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compatime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The p b) The p event, Exami	period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of eriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advoncer, will the statutory period for reply expire later the iner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
of filing th	ce of Appeal was filed on A brief in comme Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any election of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.				
3. A The prop (a) The (b) The (c) The	ecosed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by raise new issues that would require further coby raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) are not deemed to place the application in bespeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);					
(d)☐ The	ey present additional claims without canceling a DTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 andments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly pi the non-a	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
how the r The statu Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	objected to: <u>5-7 and 12-14</u> . rejected: <u>1-4 and 8-11</u> . withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
B. The affidation because a	ROTHER EVIDENCE avit or other evidence filed after a final action, be applicant failed to provide a showing of good an not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. ☐ The affida entered b showing a 10. ☐ The affid	avit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ecause the affidavit or other evidence failed to d a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar davit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
	R RECONSIDERATION/OTHER uest for reconsideration has been considered bu	at does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the	e attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Kee M. Tung	7				
		F	Primary Examin	er				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant added the new limitation that the video input signal is irrespective of data values of the portions, and this new limitation would require further consideration and search.